# PREDICTION FOR TOTAL NUMBER OF LAB PARTICIPANTS BY FUZZY TIME SERIES METHOD (CASE STUDY: INFORMATION ENGINEERING OF BHAYANGKARA SURABAYA UNIVERSITY )

# <sup>1</sup>FEBRIARDI MAHENDRA, <sup>2</sup>RIFKI FAHRIAL ZAINAL, <sup>3</sup>SYARIFUL ALIM

<sup>123</sup>Informatics Engineering, Bhayangkara Surabaya University Jl. Ahmad Yani No 114 Surabaya

#### E-mail : <sup>1</sup>frebriardiTI@ubhara.ac.id, <sup>2</sup>rifki@ubhara.ac.id, <sup>3</sup>syalihbara@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Forecasting is a way to estimate a future value with using past data. One method of forecasting is the fuzzy method time series. The purpose of this study is to predict the number of students practitioners follow Department of Informatics University Bhayangkara Surabaya by using fuzzy method time series. The created app can be used to predict the next 1 year. If the actual data in the year predicted inputted, the application can predict the next year again. The prediction error rate is calculated using Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE). From the test results in predicting the number of students followers 7 courses Practicum Informatics Engineering Bhayangkara University of Surabaya in 2010-2012 using the method proposed in this thesis for practicum PTI obtained MAPE value of 20.50%, Practical ANP obtained MAPE value of 0.50%, Network Computer practicum obtained MAPE value at 8.50%, practicum Database obtained MAPE value of 0.50%, Managemen Network Computer practicum obtained MAPE value of 0.21%. Based on the results of testing the data it can be concluded that the fuzzy time series method when used on more data many, it will get the accuracy of better and precise forecasting values.

Keywords: Forecasting, Fuzzy Time series, Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE).

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1. Background

In human life always faced with several options, where with the forecasting can help in predicting activity on life in the future. Forecasting is a process which aims to predict in between some alternative actions will concluded so that can be expected in helps to determine the outcome. Forecasting for decision making as well experienced by the Faculty of Informatics Engineering Bhayangkara University in determining participants of practicum followers. Lack of information on the number of students who follow the practicum and the student already complete the requirements of the lab, so difficult in determining many students who follow the lab. For avoid it, then it is needed a system that can help the part academic in solving deep problems predict and determine follower followers practice. The student forecasting process following the lab can be predicted with the availability of more than one option possibilities. Then used forecasting with the method Fuzzy Time Series that can help in decision making on the best and worst possible in order to obtain good results meet certain criteria. Forecasting with the Fuzzy Time Series method is based off data of applicants of the practicum obtained from Lecturer Chairman of Engineering Praktikum Department Informatics of Bhayangkara University with proof of payment from bank and KRS data college student. With that method expected results can help determine the participants who follow practicum Informatics Engineering Department.

## 1.2. Purpose

This research aims to apply the Fuzzy Time Series method to helping to predict the number of students participants of practicum Engineering followers Informatics of Bhayangkara University Surabaya, so it can be determined on a regular basis easy to see the level of accuracy forecasting.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Literature review

There are several previous studies which uses the Fuzzy Time method Series, such as Averange-Based Fuzzy Time Series for exchange rate forecasting foreign exchange (USDIDR Exchange Rate Case Study and EUR-USD) [11], forecasting the percentage change of data Composite Stock Price Index (CSPI) With Fuzzy Time Series [10], forecasting the sales method of Fuzzy Time Series Ruey Chyn Tsaur [12], Application of Fuzzy Time Series By Elliott Wave Principle For Stock Price Prediction [14], Forecasting Number of Candidates Student Stmik Duta Bangsa Using Time Invariant Fuzzy Time Series Method [13], Forecasting System Movement of Capital Market Index With The Fuzzy Time Series Method.

# 2.2. System planning

#### 2.2.1. DFD Level 0





The level 0 chart will be decomposed into several sub-process level diagrams next called the level 1 diagram. There are several sub processes in the diagram next flow among others Logging, Manage Master Data, Calculation of Forecasting Method FTS and FTS Forecasting Testing. There is some data store of them is user, students, practicum, FTS forecasting, and FTS testing. The diagram in figure 1 shows process level diagram 1.

## 2.2.2. DFD Level 1



## Figure 2. DFD Level 1

Any process found on the level 1 diagram will be decomposed again into sub-chapters of level diagram process next. Login Sub at level 2 will be decomposed into 3 processes and 1 data restore. 3 process consists of insert, update user data and Access Rights checking. While the data restorenya is Data user. Figure 2 shows sub login process.

# 2.2.3. DFD Level 2



Figure 3. DFD Level 2 Login



Figure 4. DFD level 2 manage master data

DFD level 2 is a depiction from each process that exist on level 1 DFD, is DFD level 2 login process (Figure 3), and DFD level 2 manage master data (Figure 4).

## 2.3. Fuzzy Time Series Method

Steps in forecasting participants using practicum followers method of Fuzzy Time Series in this research are as follows (Hsu et al. 2010):

1. The set of the universe.

The universal set  $U = [D_{min}, D_{max}]$  determined according to historical data exist, and divide it into an odd number of sub-intervals with equal width intervals.

2. Fuzzification Process

 $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , ...,  $A_k$  is a fuzzy sets that are the linguistic variable is determined according to the state of the universe, at where k is the number of intervals obtained from the first step then define the set of sets The fuzzy according the following models (Song and Chissom):

$$A_k = \begin{cases} 1/u_1 + 0.5/u_2 , & k = 1 \\ 0.5/u_{k-1} + 1/u_k + 0.5/U_{k+1} , & 2 \le k \le n-1 \\ 0.5/u_{n-1} + 1/u_n , & k = 1 \end{cases}$$

is a degree deep uk interval membership fuzzy set At k = 1, a fuzzy set is obtained (fuzzy set of student numbers the fewest). At k = n, obtained (fuzzy set of numbers student of the most). The greater the value of k, the fuzzy set the number of students will move from the least become the set fuzzy number of students the most many.

3. Second-order fuzzy logical relationship

If the result of fuzzification number of students in the year i-2 is, amount student in the year i-1 is then the number of students in year I is, where, as a side left relationship is referred to as current state and Ak as the right side relationship referred to as next state. Fuzzy logical relationship group formed by dividing fuzzy logical relationship that has been obtained into sections based on the left side of the fuzzy logical relationship (current state).

## 4. Defuzzification process

The defuzzification process changes a fuzzy quantities become strict quantities. The output in this process is a forecasting value determined using the following rules:

(1) If in the group is obtained exactly one next state, as fuzzy logical relationship follows:  $A_i, A_j \rightarrow A_k$ 

where is the maximum degree of degree of membership from  $A_K$  at intervals  $U_K$ , and midpost (middle value) of  $U_K$  is  $A_K$ , then the forecasting value for the group in question is  $M_k$ .

- (2) If in the group get more from one next state, as fuzzy logical relationship follows: A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>J</sub> → A<sub>k1</sub>, A<sub>k2...</sub> A<sub>kn</sub> where the maximum value of degree membership from at A<sub>k1</sub>, A<sub>k2...</sub> A<sub>kn</sub> intervals U<sub>k1</sub>, U<sub>k2...</sub> U<sub>kn</sub> and midpost (middle value) of U<sub>k1</sub>, U<sub>k2...</sub> U<sub>kn</sub> is m<sub>k1</sub>, m<sub>k2...</sub> m<sub>kn</sub> then forecasting value for the group is (m<sub>k1</sub>, + m<sub>k2...</sub> + U<sub>kn</sub>)/n
- (3) If the group is not found next state, as fuzzy logical relationship follows: A<sub>I</sub>, A<sub>J</sub> → # where # denotes unknown value and maximum value of degree of membership from A<sub>I</sub> and A<sub>J</sub> available at intervals ui and uj and and midpost (middle values) of ui and ui is M<sub>I</sub> and M<sub>J</sub> then the forecasting value for that group is M<sub>J</sub> + ((M<sub>J</sub> M<sub>J</sub>) / 2).

#### 5. Forecast rules

This stage consists of two parts, namely matching part (current state of fuzzy logical relationship group) and forecasted value. Determination of forecast value is determined by matching current state fuzzy logical relationship year i with matching part. If current state with rules which has formed a match, then forecast value in the same year as forecast value of the matching part concerned.

#### 2.4. System View

lacabait husy/admonitemed	ingbig Treadules materials action region		- C Q Such		育	0.0	• •	Ĥ	ø	-
	Form Universe of Discourse									
	Rata Salari Praktican	2013 🗐 🕬				mises				
INV MASTOR	And and		10.00							
eta Mahusterre										
ata Praktikum			Sam.							
lahan Praktikam	Estas Avel	-10								
IND FUZZY TIME SERIES	Sear Altr		:228							
on bilaria at	Aropeta	Avne		Altr						
SCOUTES	(wt)	017		125						
irm Fistayfikesi	16	120		: (100						
orm Keengotsen dan Istribusi Interval		133		142						
oran Pre-dikal				100						
orm Pengasion Predikal	10	174								
CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE	16	198.7.		190						
RAAMERUSER	1	100		100						
arti Password	2	170		683						
	(48)	100		150						
	(48)	190		200						
		399		210						
	471	23.0		220						
	Simples									

Figure 5. Views of Results and Value Pages UoD Range

Emergency         Emergency <t< th=""><th>Incabout hurry interestations</th><th>tia ghiplimideles fe</th><th>azyadBack: umpan</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>合自</th><th></th><th>+</th><th><b>n</b> 1</th><th>9</th></t<>	Incabout hurry interestations	tia ghiplimideles fe	azyadBack: umpan				0					合自		+	<b>n</b> 1	9
	100				Jisten I	14 CATHODO	Peserta Pr	uktikare I	behode fu	key Time 1	WITH TO (		•	Becando	0 1	o.sr
No. Left. Solution         No. Lef		Form	Fuzzyset													
Minima         I         Minima         I <th< td=""><td>_</td><td>hata tu</td><td>nati Prántyčem</td><td></td><td>m</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Person</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	_	hata tu	nati Prántyčem		m	1	-					Person				
Schwarzen, Graden, Schwarzen, Wirtzkeiter, Wirtzkeiter, Wirtzkeiter, Wirtzkeiter, Schwarzen, Wirtzkeiter, Schwarzen, Sch	NO MASTER		ILCONS.						~							
Ammentany minimizing         and and any and any and any and any any any any any any any any any any	the Mathematican		0.501/10/04/04/		1		10	-2-		121	-2-	-	-	-8-		
Start         Start <th< td=""><td>da Praktikum</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td><td>20</td><td>- 21 -</td><td>- 9</td><td>12</td><td>8</td><td></td><td>24</td><td>- 12</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	da Praktikum					100	20	- 21 -	- 9	12	8		24	- 12		
Matche Mathematic         1         0	ihan Praktikum		0.582 0.070.518		0,2	-	42	- 22	10	10			-	-		
Openander Status         G         S	AU FULZY TIME SERVES	10	1.514-13.5-0.545	1	0	4	-15	10.	.02	10.	1	1	1	-		
Non-Weight Constraints	orm detwerse of	16	0.545+146+0.557	1	0			65		0.5			1	- 2		
Statistical Statist	scourse	120	0,598-110-0,540		6.5	4	14	2	10.5		48	<b>a</b>	82	10		
Addem Series         #         Submit (Sys)         #         5         8         8         9         8         6         0         6         1         0	orn Xearphone day	14	0.517-1107-0.519	14	6		14		10	.05		115	41	14		
All         State-Output         6         5         6         7         6         6         7         6         7         6         7         6         7         6         7         6         7 <th7< th=""> <th7< th="">         7         <t< td=""><td>atribus leterest</td><td></td><td>1.551-559-1.5931</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>45</td><td></td><td>45</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></th7<></th7<>	atribus leterest		1.551-559-1.5931			1		1	1		45		45			
Non-Weight State         Non-Weight State         Non-Weight State         Non-Weight State         Non-Weight State	orm Predical	115	0.508-14/0+0.50/0		6	12	1	2		6	6	145		44		
ALEXARIUSIA ent franceiro	and Paringson Products	10T	D Statte Jact		0		1.4		10	10		1.0	44	1		
with Press word Sillingues	NAJEMEN USER															
	lart Pesteord		aregune 1													

Figure 6. Results of Fuzzyfication Results

	فالخودة فيوجدها المانتخان ويغواءك		=) @ [		\$		U	*	
100			Sistem Perenalar I	Penartia Praktikum Metode P	vizze Firme Service :			Salard	•
	FORM KEANGG	OTAAN INTERVAL							
main	Tall Line Publics		(m) (m)		Pro-				
	200	21.00	200000			44			
MALTER.	2010	100.00	Vid. op van op			44			
Portuga in suc	21	11.41	TURIDIE			-			
Prattices	2942	. 105.00	170.00.700.00			42			
	240	1 mile 001	110.00.000.00			24			
UZZY THE SCHOOL	211	542.00	0.0.00.00.00			4			
Million at									
Parcephase	SECOND ORDE	R FUZZY LOGICAL RELA	TIONSHIP						
No experiment data									
Rediner	10.841	A.8.42	100040	78-8	1.5	÷			
CHEIN USER	FUZZY LOGICAL	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Fuelle Lageon Newtonian						
CARLING Productor	FUZZY LOGICAL	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Futty Lapon References and pair all pair all pair all pair all pair all pair all pair						
CARLES Frederik CARN USER	FUZZY LOGICAL Cristic Logit 2. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4.	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Factor capital features g en 2-ca al 2-ca al 2-ca en 2-ca en 2-ca al 2-ca en 2-ca al 2-ca						
Sougen Southa	FUZZY LOGICAL 0ma (x04) 2 3 4 7 0 DEFUZZFRASI	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Free Laper Network All Juni All Juni All Juni All Juni All Juni						
	FUZZY LOGICAL Oried (10)1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Free Laper Networks Art (						
Anggester (nederte af	FUZZY LOGICAL Ornal Gent 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Noto Logist Methoday Anno Allond Million Antone Allone Allone						
Angen Perke	FUZZY LOGICAL     Oned Lept	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Vaca Lapat Internets anti-on anti-on applied applied applied Internets applied Internets				2 7 S		
	PUZZY LOGICAL Oma Logi 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	Nata Lagat Network Alf Sci Alf				4% 11 01 01		
	FUZZY LOSICAL Onse Losy 2 3 5 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RELATIONSHIP GROUP	nagaa keekeney angaa ahba ahba ahba ahba ahba ahba ahba a				444 11 11 11		

Figure 7. Result Pages Membership and Interval Distribution

C localtest Yuzy/ridmmvrb/med	a php/modules.pm	dikidanta vergari	- 0	k Search	<b>合</b> 自	۵	+	A 0	
1		Sate	n Peramatan Peserta Praktikum Metode Fazzy Tar	ie Series 1.		*	Becanda	O Krist	r
	FORM	PERAMALAN							
Junan	theto kole	n Praigham	in is		Proses				
IEND MASTER									
Ceta Meteosiavia	SECON	ID ORDER FUZZY FORE	CASTRULES						
Deta Prekškum Takon Drakškum	Ret	Vakring Pat		510	centriq Veter				
	3	Jia Accelles Work-2 action in c	in Warth f adapt A	115					
NO FURZY TIME SERIES	3	Una fuzzifikasi tahun -2 adalah Ah	den fahun (-) scheier 4/7	128					
orm Driverse of	1	. Ris futbillers when -2 adatas 47	54 Histori 1- autor ed	105					
form Furnylikani	14	Jack Azzarbaya Serun 12 walate AZ	dan tahun kiti adalah Ali	205					
form Kewngpoleer dan Deinbusi Internal		alla Nazafiasi beun 52 alalah A.t	dan tenun vil adalah Alt	201					
om Predikal om Pangajan Predikal	HASIL	PERAMALAN							
CALIFIC CONTRACTOR	Tenre	Juriel Mahasanna	Farry Lapsed Headenety	Malched rule by.	P.	ramalar			
NAJEMEN USER	2000	216.00	1.5-6		Į.	8			
art Passworth	2010	185.00	7,8-+5	18	12	\$			
	2010	10.42	8.8.47	1	11	ē.			
	2012	100.00	10.000	λ.	21	6			
	in the second	162.00	Rot			2			

Figure 8. Forecasting Page Views

Flocalbest huzy introvehiesed	a phy modules upprechantant	-surface		T C Q Such	2	18	•	÷ 6	0	,
A.S.			Eston Pearl	ulan Peseria fraktikom Net	ode Futty Time Series 1.		<b>*</b> 8	mindo (	C Kea	ar.
	FORM KEANGO	IOTAAN INTERVAL								
iman	Mata Subah Postilitani		_ Ph		Prior					
NU MANTER										
eta Metrosistea	HASIL PENGU.	IAN PERAMALAN								
ala Praktikara	mboli	Junter Mahappava		Peranala	i kin	1965				
alan Praktikon	2009	296.00		/ 115	9					
NU FUZZY TIME SERVES	2010	100.00		175	0					
orn Deverse of	2019	111.03		100	8. 8					
ncourse om Puttyfikani	2012	110-00		211	0					
orm Keanggotaan dan	2013	112.00		200	9					
orm Predical				inare.						
onni Pengupian Predibiat										
MAJEMEN USER	Geogae									

Figure 9. Pageviews Result Testing Data Forecasting

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## **3.1. Experiment Results**

The experiment was conducted on participant data practicum majoring in Informatics Engineering Bhayangkara University began year 2010 to 2012 with 7 courses practicum can be seen in table 4.1 and experiments are also performed on the Amount data Student who practicum in Laboratory Livestock Reproduction Faculty of Animal Husbandry Universitas Brawijaya year 2010-2014 as comparison data can be seen on table 6.37. Experiment done with using the Fuzzy Time Series and methods using MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error) to analyze precision

forecasting method. Method Appropriate forecasting is a method resulting in a minimum MAPE. Based on table 4.1 of 7 courses practice could do process counting follower of follower followers practicum as follows:

1. Data of PTI practicum participants

٠

• Universe of Discourse with value Dmin = 10 and the value Dmax = 218, then the universal set U = [10, 220] and divide it into 21 sub-interval with interval length which is just as great.

-	
u1 = [10, 20]	u12 = [120, 130]
u2 = [20, 30]	u13 = [130, 140)
u3 = [30, 40]	u14 = [140, 150)
u4 = [40, 50]	u15 = [150, 160)
u5 = [50, 60]	u16 = [160, 170]
u6 = [60, 70]	u17 = [170, 180]
u7 = [70, 80]	u18 = [180, 190]
u8 = [80, 90]	u19 = [190, 200]
u9 = [90, 100]	u20 = [200, 210)
u10 = [100, 110]	u21 = [210, 220]
u11 = [110, 120]	

Fuzzification process Retrieved: A1 = 1/u1 + 0.5/u2A2 = 0.5/u1 + 1/u2 + 0.5/u3A3 = 0.5/u2 + 1/u3 + 0.5/u4A4 = 0.5/u3 + 1/u4 + 0.5/u5A5 = 0.5/u4 + 1/u5 + 0.5/u6A6 = 0.5/u5 + 1/u6 + 0.5/u7A7 = 0.5/u6 + 1/u7 + 0.5/u8A8 = 0.5/u7 + 1/u8 + 0.5/u9A9 = 0.5/u8 + 1/u9 + 0.5/u10A10 = 0.5/u9 + 1/u10 + 0.5/u11A11 = 0.5/u10 + 1/u11 + 0.5/u12A12 = 0.5/u11 + 1/u12 + 0.5/u13A13 = 0.5/u12 + 1/u13 + 0.5/u14A14 = 0.5/u13 + 1/u14 + 0.5/u15A15 = 0.5/u14 + 1/u15 + 0.5/u16A16 = 0.5/u15 + 1/u16 + 0.5/u17A17 = 0.5/u16 + 1/u17 + 0.5/u18A18 = 0.5/u17 + 1/u18 + 0.5/u19A19 = 0.5/u18 + 1/u19 + 0.5/u20A20 = 0.5/u19 + 1/u20 + 0.5/u21A21 = 0.5/u20 + 1/u21Overall, the results of the process fuzzification of the data can be seen in Table 3.1.

Year	College student	Amount Fuzzification
2010	218	A21
2011	128	A12
2012	10	A1

Table 3.1 Practical Fuzzification Data PTI

• Second-order fuzzy logical relationship

Based on fuzzification results on the second step, can be determined second order fuzzy logical relationship that can be seen on table 3.2 and table 3.3

		A21,A	.12→A1	A12,A1->#				
Т	Table 3.2 Second-order fuzzy logical relationship PTI Practicum							
	Grou	p Label	Fuzzy Logical Relationship Group					
		1	A21,A12->A1					
		2		A12,A1->#				

Table 3.3 Second-order fuzzy logical relationship group PTI Practicum

• Defuzzification process

Group 1 calculation, from Table 3.3 as follows :

A21, A12  $\rightarrow$  A1 where the membership value is maximumvfor the fuzzy set A1 falls on interval u21 = [210, 220], and value the middle of the interval of u21 is 215 then forecasting value for group 1 is 215. Group 2 calculation, from Table 3.3 as follows :

A12, A1  $\rightarrow$  #

where the maximum value of degree membership of A12 and A1 falls at intervals u12 = [120, 130] and u1 = [10, 20] and midpost (middle value) of u12 and u1 are 125 and 15, then forecasting value for the group in question is 15 + ((15 - 125) / 2) ie -40.

Forecast rules

Based on defuzzification results on the fourth step, can be determined some rules that can be seen on table 3.4 and has been obtained in the table 3.5.

Rule	Matching Part Forecasting	Value
1	If fuzzification of year i-2 is A12 and year i-1 are A1	215

Table 3.4 Second-order fuzzy forecast rules Practicum PTI

Year	number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	forecasting
2010	218	-	-	-
2011	128	-	-	-
2012	10	A12, A1 $\rightarrow$ #	1	215
2013	-	$A1, A2 \rightarrow \#$	2	-40

Table 3.5 Application of fuzzy time method series PTI Practicum

Testing data

Year	number of students	forecasting	Error%				
2010	218						
2011	128						
2012	10	-215	20,50				
2013	-	-40	0				
	TOTAL MAPE						

Table 3.6 Testing MAPE data PTI lab work

Then tested the data for analyze accuracy method that used by generating a MAPE minimum. From Table 3.6 it can be seen that in forecasting the number of student followers practicum PTI with fuzzy time method series obtained a total value of MAPE of 20.50%

## 2. Data of ANP practicum participants

By whole, results process forecasting the data can be seen on table 3.7

Year	number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule Nol	Forecasting
2010	10	-	-	-
2011	164	-	-	-
2012	10	A16, A1 $\rightarrow$ #	2	15
2013	-	A17, A18 $\rightarrow$ #	-	-60

Table 3.7 Application of fuzzy time method series ANP Practicum

Testing data

Year	number of students	Forecasting	Error %
2010	10	-	-
2011	164	-	-
2012	10	15	0,50
2013	-	-60	0
TOTAL MAPE	0,50		

Table 3.8 MAPE Testing of practicum data ANP

Then tested the data for analyze the accuracy of that method used by generating MAPE the minimum. From Table 3.8 it can be seen that in forecasting amount student of practicum followers ANP with the fuzzy time series method got MAPE total value of 0.50%.

## 3. Data of practicum participants Jarkom

By whole, results process forecasting the data can be seen on table 3.9.

Year	number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule Nol	Forecasting
2010	93	-	-	-
2011	90	-	-	-
2012	10	A8, A1 $\rightarrow$ #	2	95
2013	-	A10, A11 $\rightarrow$ #	-	-20

Table 3.9 Application of fuzzy time method series Practicum Jarkom

Testing data

Year	number of students	Forecasting	Error%
2010	93	-	-
2011	90	-	-
2012	10	95	8,50
2013	-	-20	0
TOTAL MAPE	8,50		

Table 3.10 MAPE Testing of practicum data Jarkom

Then tested the data for analyze accuracy method that used by generating a MAPE minimum. From Table 3.10 can be seen that in forecasting the number of students followers of Jarkom practice by method fuzzy time series got the total value of MAPE by 8.50%.

Data of participants of Database practicum By whole, results process such data forecasting can be seen in 4. Table 3.11.

Year	Number of students	Fuzzy logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	Forecastig
2010	10	-	-	-
2011	98	-	-	-
2012	10	A9, A1 $\rightarrow$ #	2	15
2013	-	A10, A11 $\rightarrow$ #	-	-25

Testing Data					
	Year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error %	
	2010	10	-	-	
	2011	98	-	-	
	2012	10	15	0,50	
	2013	-	-25	0	
	TOTAL MAPE	0,50			

Table 3.11	Application	of fuzzy tii	ne method	l series	Database	Practicum
------------	-------------	--------------	-----------	----------	----------	-----------

Table 3.12 MAPE Testing of practicum data Database

Then tested the data for analyze the accuracy of that method used by generating MAPE the minimum. From Table 3.12 it can be seen that in forecasting amount student of Database practicum followers with the fuzzy time series method obtained a total value of MAPE of 0.50%

5. Data of Manjarkom practicum participants By whole, results process forecasting the data can be seen on table 3.13.

Year	Number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	FORECASTING
2010	155	-	-	-
2011	85	-	-	-
2012	10	$A8 A1 \rightarrow \#$	2	155
2013	-	A16, A17 → #	-	-20

Table 3.13 Application of the fuzzy time series method Manjarkom Practicum

Testing Da	ita			
	Year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error%
	2010	155	-	-
	2011	85	-	-
	2012	10	155	14,50
	2013	-	-20	0
	TOTAL MAPE	14,50		

Table 3.14 MAPE Testing of practicum data Manjarkom

PE	0,50	

Then tested the data for analyze accuracy method that used by generating a MAPE minimum. From Table 3.14 it can be seen that in forecasting the number of student followers Manjarkom practice with fuzzy method time series obtained the total value of MAPE of 14.50%

6. Data of PKG practicum participants By whole, results process forecasting the data can be seen on table 3.15.

Year	Number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	Forecasting
2010	10	-	-	-
2011	90	-	-	-
2012	93	A1, A9 $\rightarrow$ #	2	520
2013	-	A10, A11 $\rightarrow$ #	-	-100

Table 3 15 Application	of fuzzy time method series	PKG Practicum
Tuble 5.15 Application	of fully time method series	1 no 1 ruciicum

# • Data Testing

Year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error%
2010	10	-	-
2011	10	-	-
2012	93	15	0,84
2013	-	135	0
TOTAL MAPE	0,84		

## Table 3.16 Testing MAPE data practicum PKG

Then tested the data for analyze accuracy method that used by generating a MAPE minimum. From Table 3.16 it can be seen that in forecasting the number of student followers practicum PKG with fuzzy time method series obtained a total value of MAPE of 0.84%.

## 7. Data of PBO practicum participants

By whole, results process forecasting the data can be seen on table 3.17.

Year	Number of students	Fuzzy Logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	forecasting
2010	15	-	-	-
2011	51	-	-	-
2012	19	A5, A1 $\rightarrow$ #	2	15
2013	-	A6, A7 $\rightarrow$ #	-	-5

Table 3.17 Application of the fuzzy time series method PBO Practicum

• Data Testing

year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error%
2010	15		
2011	51		
2012	19	15	0,21
2013	-	-5	0
TOTAL MAPE	0,21		

Table 3.18 MAPE Testing of practicum data PBO

Then tested the data to analyze the accuracy of that method used by generating a MAPE minimum. From Table 3.18 it can be seen that in forecasting the number of students follower of PBO with fuzzy method time series obtained the total value of MAPE of 0.21%. Experiment as a comparison analysis performed on the Number of Student data lab in the Reproduction Laboratory Livestock Faculty of Animal Husbandry University Brawijaya year 2010-2014, with data as follows :

YEAR	Practical Courses	NUMBER OF STUDENTS PRACTICUM
2010	Biotechnology	18
2010	Animal Reproduction Science	14
2011	Biotechnology	54
2011	Animal Reproduction Science	232
2012	Biotechnology	69
	Animal Reproduction Science	356
2012	Biotechnology	165
2013	Animal Reproduction Science	792
2014	Biotechnology	161
	Animal Reproduction Science	537

Table 3.19 Data Number of Students in practicum Reproduction Laboratory

Results forecasting of 2 courses practicum data Number of Students who practicum at Livestock Reproduction Laboratory Faculty Universitas Brawijaya Farming in 2010 - 2014, can be seen in table 3.20 and table 3.21. Then the test results can be seen in Table 3.46 and Table 3.47.

YEAR	Number of students	Fuzzy logical Relationship	Matched Rule No.	forecasting
2010	18	-	-	-
2011	54	-	-	-
2012	69	$A1, A5 \rightarrow A6$	1	15
2013	165	$A5, A6 \rightarrow A16$	2	55
2014	161	$A6, A16 \rightarrow A16$	3	65
2015	-	A16, A16 $\rightarrow$ #	4	165

Table 3.20 Application of the fuzzy time series method Number of students of Biotechnology practicum

• data testing

year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error%
2010	18	-	-
2011	54	-	-
2012	69	15	0,78
2013	165	55	0,67
2014	161	65	0,60
2015	-	165	-
	TOTAL MAPE	1,65	

Table 3.21 Testing MAPE data Amount Student of Biotechnology practicum

Year	Number of students	Fuzzy logical relationship	Matched Rule No.	Forecasting
2010	14	-	-	-
2011	232	-	-	-
2012	356	$A1, A5 \rightarrow A6$	1	15
2013	792	$A5, A6 \rightarrow A16$	2	235
2014	537	$A6, A16 \rightarrow A16$	3	355
2015	-	$A16, A16 \rightarrow \#$	4	405

Table 3.22 Application of the fuzzy time series method Number Amount Student of Livestock Reproduction Laboratory

•	data	testing
-	uuuu	testing

year	Number of students	Forecasting	Error%
2010	18	-	-
2011	54	-	-
2012	69	15	0,96
2013	165	235	0,70
2014	161	355	0,34
2015	-	405	-
TOTAL MAPE	1 77		

 TOTAL MAPE
 1,77

 Table 3.23 Testing MAPE data Amount Student of Biotechnology practicum

#### 3.2 Analysis of Results

Based on data forecasting results of the eye college practicum, it appears that if there is empty data (zero) and too few periods, then the amount of data entered into forecasting process with fuzzy time method series shows less results maximum. As for forecasting on the comparison data is the number of students the practicum in the Reproduction Laboratory Livestock Faculty of Animal Husbandry University Brawijaya can still show results better forecasting than data participants of Informatics Engineering Bhayangkara University Surabaya. On testing the measurement process data forecasting error rate followers practice majors Technique Informatics University Bhayangkara Surabaya where the result of error measurement forecasting is greater than results in data comparison of the Number of Student data lab in the Reproduction Laboratory Livestock Faculty of Animal Husbandry University Brawijaya year 2010-2014.

## 4. CONCLUSION

From the discussion that has been done then it can be determined a conclusion as follows :

1) From the process of calculating the forecasting done to the practicum majors Technique Informatics University Bhayangkara Surabaya from the year 2010 - 2012 obtained forecasting results on year 2013 for practical subjects PTI amounted to -40, practical subjects ANP of -60, course practicum JARKOM for -20, course Database practicum of -25, eyes Manjarkom's practicum course is -20, PKG practicum course is 135 and PBO practicum courses are as big as -5. While on data comparison data Number of students who practicum at Laboratory Reproduction Livestock Faculty Farms University Brawijaya year 2010-2014 obtained forecasting results in 2015 for courses of biotechnology practice for 165 students and for the eyes college practicum of Livestock Reproduction Sciences amounting to 405 students.

- 2) Conditions on data of practicum participants majoring in Informatics Engineering University Bhayangkara Surabaya from the year 2010 - 2012 has an uneven amount or empty (zero) in the amount students who register lab so take effect on results forecasting becomes less precise and results can not in determining the forecasting.
- 3) Mean error rate measurement Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) in analyzing the accuracy of the method used in forecasting data participants follow practicum majors Technique Informatics University Bhayangkara Surabaya got value MAPE for PTI practicum course amounted to 20.50%, practicum course ANP of 0.50%, course JARKOM practicum of 8.50%, eyes college practicum Database of 0.50%, Manjarkom practicum course of 14.50%, the practical course PKG of 0.84% and courses practice PBO amount 0.21%. While on data comparison data Number of students who practicum at Laboratory Reproduction Livestock Faculty Farms University Brawijaya year 2010-2014 for the eyes Biotechnology lab course is as big as 1.65% and for the practicum course Animal Reproduction Science of 1.77% where the error measurement results forecasting is smaller than result on participant of practicum followers Department Technique Informatics University Bhayangkara Surabaya.
- 4) If any new data used is not slightly with the projected upward trend in data. Then we can do the calculation process with the Fuzzy Time Series method become more appropriate for forecasting.

#### PREFERENCE

- [1] Chen, S. M. 1996. Forecasting enrollments based on fuzzy time series Fuzzy Sets and Systems. International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering.
- [2] Chen S. M., Hsu C.-C. 2004. A new method to forecasting enrollments using fuzzy time serie. International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering.
- [3] Hsu LY, Horng SJ, Kao TW, Chen YH, Run RS, Chen RJ, Lai JL, Kuo IH. 2010. Temperature prediction and TAIFEX forecasting based on fuzzy relationships and MTPSO techniques. Expert Systems with Applications 37: 2756-2770.
- [4] Kusumadewi, Sri, Hari Purnomo. 2004. Fuzzy Logic Applications for Supporters Decision. Graha Science. Yogyakarta.
- [5] Makridakis, S., Wheelright, S.C., and McGee, V.E. 1992. Methods and Applications Forecasting 2nd edition, volume I. Transfer Language: Andriyanto, U.S., and Basith, A. Erlangga. Jakarta.
- [6] Prasetyo, Huda. (2008), Fuzzy Implementation Time Series for Sales Prediction Sarong At PT. NABATEX Gresik, Thesis, Informatics Engineering, STIKOM, Surabaya.
- [7] Song Q, Chissom BS. 1993. Forecasting enrollments with fuzzy time series-Part I. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 54: 19.
- [8] Steven. (2013), Comparison of Fuzzy Methods Time Series and Holt Double Exponential Smoothing At Forecasting Number of New Students Institute of Agriculture, Thesis, Mathematics, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor.
- [9] T. A. Jilani, S. M. A., Burney, C., Ardil. 2007. Fuzzy Metric Approach for Fuzzy Time Series Forecasting based on Frequency Density Based Partitioning. Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 23, pp.333-338
- [10] Icha Puspitasari, Suparti, Y. W. (2012). Analisis Indeks Harga Saham Gabungan (IHSG) dengan Menggunakan Model Regresi Kernel. Jurnal Gaussian, 1(1), 93–102.
- [11] Rachmawansah, K. (2014). Average-Based Fuzzy Time Series untuk Peramalan Kurs Valuta Asing (Studi Kasus pada Nilai Tukar USD-IDR dan EUR-USD). *Jurnal Mahasiswa Statistik*, 2(6), 413.
- [12] Solo, J., & Yogyakarta, D. I. (2011). Peramalan Penjualan dengan Metode Fuzzy Time Series Ruey Chin Tsaur 1,2,3).
- [13] Peramalan jumlah pendaftar calon mahasiswa stmik duta bangsa menggunakan metode. (2016),8(April 2015).
- [14] Pratama, M. (2014). Penerapan Fuzzy Time Series dengan Prinsip Gelombang Elliott untuk Peramalan Harga Saham, 2(6), 417–420.